

To: Jones, Jim[Jones.Jim@epa.gov]; Wise, Louise[Wise.Louise@epa.gov]; Fort, Felecia[Fort.Felecia@epa.gov]; Wallace, Ryan[Wallace.Ryan@epa.gov]
From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Wed 2/12/2014 2:09:14 AM
Subject: FYI -- BNA (Pat Rizzuto) re: W. Va. Spill; ddl: 2/14

FYI

From: Strauss, Linda
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 9:08:10 PM
To: Flattery, Priscilla
Cc: Gillis, Chris
Subject: Fw: ACTION: BNA (Pat Rizzuto) re: W. Va. Spill; ddl: 2/14

Lots of q's for OPPT. Due Friday.

From: Jones, Enesta
Sent: Tuesday, February 11, 2014 7:10:48 PM
To: Smith, Bonnie; Strauss, Linda; Behringer, Caroline; Hull, George; Belknap, Andra; Bloomgren, David; Jones, Enesta; Flattery, Priscilla; White, Terri-A
Subject: ACTION: BNA (Pat Rizzuto) re: W. Va. Spill; ddl: 2/14

Hi All,
Some of these can be addressed by Region 3; some by OCSPP. Including all. Thanks.

I am working on a special report on chemical data issues raised by the Elk River spill. I need to clarify a few details regarding EPA's involvement (if any) in last month's incident; I ALSO need to doublecheck some other chemical data issues.

1) Elk River

- a) Did West Virginia and/or CDC ask for EPA's help following the spill?
- b) If so, when?
- c) What offices got involved e.g. regional? Water? Waste?
- d) Did New Chemicals folks from OPPT get involved? The reason I'm asking is that they have the expertise in structure activity analysis, so even if data on MCHM was Spartan, perhaps they could help with SAR?

2) HPV data

- a) Can you get me the following data by Friday? Can you let me know by Wednesday if that is doable:
 - 1) Number of HPV chemicals sponsored under the voluntary chemical challenge program;
 - 2) Number of sponsored chemicals for which data submissions are complete.
 - 3) Number of HPV chemicals that have been subject to test rules;
 - 4) Number of the HPV test rule chemicals for which the EPA has a complete data set;
 - 5) Status of 4th HPV test rule
- b) MCHM was a high production volume chemical at times. Indeed it was included among the group of HPV chemicals added to the Priority Testing List in the 58th ITC Report.
- c) I cannot find any evidence that it was removed. Is it still on the list?
- d) Was it removed and when?
- e) What is the status of efforts to get data on it?

3) Similarities/differences to C8 (PFOA) drinking water contamination incident in West Virginia in early 2000s.

a) What similarities/differences does EPA see between the recent Elk River spill and lack of data about that chemical and the C8 chemical that got into the drinking water of West Virginia and Ohio residents in the early 2000s?

b) Can EPA describe the amount of data that was or was not available on C8?

c) Available on analogous chemicals (e.g. PFOS)?

d) During the ECA negotiations to obtain environment, transportation and fate data on PFOA and related chemicals, the EPA was unable to obtain certain types of data. What was that data that it wanted but could not get through the negotiated consent agreement process?

ÿ